

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

MDL No. 1827

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-16)

On April 17, 2007, the Panel transferred 7 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* 483 F.Supp.2d 1353 (J.P.M.L. 2007). Since that time, 82 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Susan Yvonne Illston.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Illston.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of April 17, 2007, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Susan Yvonne Illston.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.

Jun 23, 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1827

SCHEDULE CTO-16 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST DIV. C.A.NO. CASE CAPTION

NEW YORK EASTERN

NYE	2	11-01690	Electrograph Systems, Inc. et al v. NEC Corporation et al
-----	---	----------	---